8/ 11

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No.: 10/643,788

Docket No.: JCLA9912

REMARKS

Present Status of the Application

The Office Action mentions that the title of the invention is not descriptive, and a new

title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The

Office Action rejects claims 1, 3, 4 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai

et al. (US Pregrant Publication 2003/0201521), rejects claims 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Tsai et al. (US Pregrant Publication 2003/0201521) in view of Macric

(US 2002/0033189), and rejects claims 21-24 and 26 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Tsai et al. (US Pregrant Publication 2003/0201521). Claims 13-20 are allowed.

Claim 2. 6-8, 11, 12, 25, 27-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Upon entry of the amendments in this response, the title of the invention is amended as

"CHIP PACKAGE STRUCTURE WITH GLASS SUBSTRATE", claims 1 is amended, and

claims 2, and 21-29 are canceled without prejudice, waiver, and disclaimer. Claim 1 is amended

by incorporating the limitations of the original claim 2, and claim 2 is thus canceled. Hence,

claims 1, 3-20 remain pending in the present application, with claims 1 and 13 being independent

claims. Thus, reconsideration of those claim is respectfully requested.

# BEST AVAILABLE COPOCKET No.: JCLA9912

Application No.: 10/643,788

### Response to Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

#### 1. Tsai et al.

The Office Action rejects claims 1, 3, 4 and 5 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al. (US Pregrant Publication 2003/0201521).

Since independent claim 1 is amended by incorporating the limitations of the original claim 2, which is allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, the amended independent claim 1 is allowable and not being unpatentable over Tsai et al. Consequently, claims 3, 4, and 5 dependent upon the amended independent claim 1 are also allowable and not being unpatentable over Tsai et al. as a matter of law.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that the grounds of rejection have been addressed and the rejection has been overcome. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

#### 2. Tsai et al. & Macris

The Office Action rejects claims 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsai et al. (US Pregrant Publication 2003/0201521) in view of Macric (US 2002/0033189).

Since independent claim 1 is amended by incorporating the limitations of the original claim 2, which is allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, the amended independent claim 1 is allowable and not being unpatentable over Tsai et al. in view of Macric. Consequently, claims 9 and 10 dependent

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

**Application No.: 10/643,788** 

Docket No.: JCLA9912

upon the amended independent claim 1 are also allowable and not being unpatentable over Tsai et al. in view of Macric as a matter of law.

Accordingly, Applicants submit that the grounds of rejection have been addressed and the rejection has been overcome. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No.: 10/643,788

Docket No.: JCLA9912

#### **CONCLUSION**

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the pending claims 1, 3-20 are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date: 6/21/2005

4 Venture, Suite 250 Irvine, CA 92618 Tel.: (949) 660-0761 Fax: (949)-660-0809 Respectfully submitted, J.C. PATENTS

Jiawei Huang

Registration No. 43,330